

## UNITED STA. S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. P60188US1 L 09/076,956 05/13/98 BARANOVA **EXAMINER** HM2270301 CRANE, L LAURA A. CORUZZI, ESQ. PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 1623 NEW YORK NY 10036 **DATE MAILED:** 03/01/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/076,956	Baranova	et al.
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
L. E. Cfane 1623			
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b]]			
a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) Expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on			
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on $02/15/01$ – – has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
The proposed amendment(s):			
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.			
🔀 will not be entered because:			
xx they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: the amendments substantially change the structure and would re quire			
a new search.			
XX Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):			
rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and art rejections.			
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.			
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in and discon-			
for allowance because: applicant has not convincingly explained how the instant			
have been derived from the disclost the fixxx rejection under 35 USC 1	ure and there	fore has failed	to overcome
		<u> </u>	
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
Claims allowed: NONE		·	
Claims objected to: NONE			<u> </u>
Claims rejected: 104-107			
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		as not been approved by	the Examiner.
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s),	PTO-1449, Paper Not	s)	<b>A</b> 1.
☐ Other		».——.	
		GARY SUPERVISORY PA TECH CEN	TENT EXAMINER
		-5., 011	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office

シ